CULTURE PROFILE

Staphalococus

3 evidence sentences · 3 sources

UNREVIEWED — not verified by a microbiologist

At a glance

1	**Media used**	No evidence retrieved	none
2	**Optimum temperature for growth**	No evidence retrieved	none
3	**Time required to grow**	5 d	low
4	**Colony morphology**	No evidence retrieved	none
5	**Gram character**	No evidence retrieved	none
6	**Biochemical tests for identification**	Antibiotic susceptibility profile	low

1 Media used

no evidence

No sentence in the retrieved literature supported this field. Nothing is asserted here.

2 Optimum temperature for growth

no evidence

No sentence in the retrieved literature supported this field. Nothing is asserted here.

3 Time required to grow

low confidence

5 d

Low · score 1.22 · 1 source

4 Colony morphology

no evidence

No sentence in the retrieved literature supported this field. Nothing is asserted here.

5 Gram character

no evidence

No sentence in the retrieved literature supported this field. Nothing is asserted here.

6 Biochemical tests for identification

low confidence

- Antibiotic susceptibility profile
  Low · score 0.81 · 1 source

7 References

1. Surface Modification of Poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) Dendrimer as Antimicrobial Agents. 2012
   MED:23125468 doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2012.09.098 · via Europe PMC · supported: Biochemical tests for identification
2. Fig. 2. Growth curve of a bacterial culture K2-2 in a liquid VD medium with sodium acetate and without an organic substrate for 2.5 days. OD - optical density culture
   doi:10.17816/ecogen50901-37212 · via Crossref · supported: Time required to grow
3. Fig. 2. Growth curve of a bacterial culture K2-2 in a liquid VD medium with sodium acetate and without an organic substrate for 2.5 days. OD, optical density of the culture
   doi:10.17816/ecogen50901-42119 · via Crossref · supported: Time required to grow

How these values were derived

Each candidate value was scored as the sum, over every supporting sentence, of the source tier weight multiplied by how squarely the sentence asserts the value and by a recency factor. Culture collections and nomenclatural authorities carry weight 5.0, peer-reviewed literature 3.0, preprints 1.5, and encyclopedic sources 1.0. Confidence is reported as high only where a curated source and at least two independent sources agree. Where a runner-up scored above 60 per cent of the leader, both are shown and the field is flagged as a conflict rather than resolved automatically.

This report aggregates published evidence; it does not replace Bergey's Manual, the Manual of Clinical Microbiology, or the product sheet supplied with the strain. Every field is unreviewed until a microbiologist signs it off.